CERTIFICATE OF LING

I hereby certify that this correspondence is being deposited with the U.S. Postal Service as First Class Mail'in an envelope addressed to: Commissioner for Patents, P. O. Box 1450, Alexandria, VA 22313-1450 on April 28, 2003

PATENTS
ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. 56.0609CIP

Sherri Sitzmann

IN THE UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

§

In re Application of:

Bernhard Lungwitz et al.

Application Serial No.: 09/918,264

Filed: July 30, 2001

For: Viscoelastic Surfactant Fluids

Stable at High Brine Concentrations

Commissioner for Patents P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, VA 22313-1450 **Attorney Docket No: 56.0609CIP**

Group Art Unit: 1712

Examiner: Tucker, P.

RECEIVED
MAY -2 2003

RESPONSE TO FIRST OFFICE ACTION DATED FEBRUARY 4, 2003

Sir:

An Office Action was mailed on February 4, 2003 from the Patent and Trademark Office. Claims 1 to 21 are pending. Claims 1-11, 13-16 and 18-19 were rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph. Claims 1, 2, 4-9 and 11 were further rejecter under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) over Dahayanake. Claims 17, 20 and 21 were objected as being dependent upon rejected claims but otherwise allowable.

In the Claims

Please delete claims 2 and 3 and amend claims 1, 7, 10, 12 and 16 as shown in the attached 05/20/2003 ICOLF1 020000002 041579 09918264 rewritten to now include the features of original claim 3, hence 01 FC:1201 02 FC:1202 44.00 CH the 120 and 20 mment of claim 3. The first paragraph was also amended to overcome the 35 USC §112, 2nd paragraph objection.

Claim 7 was rewritten to correct its improper dependence.

Claim 10 was rewritten as an independent claim and the typo in claim 16 was corrected.

The revised set of claims further comprise new claims 22 to 30, based on original claims 1 to 9 but limited to brines having a density of at least 12.5 ppg.

Rejection of claims under 35 USC §112- 2nd paragraph

It is believed that the amendment proposed above overcome all rejections re. 35 USC §112...

Rejection of claims under 35 USC §103(a)

Claim 1 has been limited to the specific types of co-surfactants of original claim 3, and therefore is no more subject to the rejection under 35 USC §103(a). Claim 2 is deleted. Claims 4-9 and 11 are all directly or indirectly dependent upon revised claim 1.

As to newly added claims 22 to 30, it is respectfully submitted that Dahayanake fails to suggest any fluid whose salt concentration would be over 30% (and actually recommend fluid having a brine concentration of less than 10%). As shown in the following table, with the salts listed by Dahayanake, the heaviest disclosed brine would have a concentration below 10ppg and the heaviest suggested brine would be slightly over 12 ppg. It is therefore believed that the compositions claimed in added claims 22 to 30 are new and non-obvious.

Salt	30%	10%
KCl	10.9ppg	8.9ppg
NH ₄ Cl	9.4ppg	8.6ppg
CaCl ₂	11.9ppg	9.1ppg
CaBr ₂	12.1ppg	9.2ppg
ZnCl ₂	12.2ppg	9.2ppg

In light of the above amendments and remarks, Applicants respectfully request that a timely Notice of Allowance be issued in this case.

Applicants believe that no additional fee is due for this application. However, the Commissioner is authorized to charge any additional required fee, or credit any excess fee paid, to Deposit Account 04-1579 (56.0609CIP).

Respectfully submitted,

Catherine Menes
Agent for Applicant
Limited Recognition

Date: April 28 2003

Schlumberger Technology Corporation

110 Schlumberger Drive, MD-1

Sugar Land, Texas 77478

Ph: (281) 285-8606 Fax: (281)285-8569